

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 \* \* \*

4 ANDRE HARDIMAN,

5 Plaintiff,

6 v.

7 SCOTT DAVIS, *et al.*,

8 Defendants.  
9

Case No. 3:23-CV-00584-MMD-CLB

**ORDER DENYING AS MOOT MOTION  
TO COMPEL**

[ECF No. 21]

10 Before the Court is Plaintiff Andre Hardiman's ("Hardiman") motion to compel  
11 discovery. (ECF No. 21.) Hardiman's motion seeks to compel the production of several  
12 documents by Defendants. (*See id.*) Hardiman states that he submitted a written request  
13 for these documents on January 29, 2025, but as of the filing of the motion he had yet to  
14 receive the documents. (*Id.*) Defendants Scott Davis, Tim Garrett, Kara LeGrand, Donald  
15 Southworth, and Harold Wickham (collectively referred to as "Defendants") responded to  
16 the motion to compel, noting that the subject request for production of documents was  
17 mailed on March 5, 2025, after the filing of the motion and thus, Hardiman's motion is  
18 moot. (ECF No. 22.) Hardiman did not file a reply.

19 Having reviewed Hardiman's motion to compel and Defendants' opposition, and  
20 based on the lack of reply, it appears there is no longer a dispute between the parties as  
21 to discovery.

22 Accordingly, **IT IS ORDERED** that Hardiman's motion to compel, (ECF No. 21), is  
23 **DENIED as moot.**

24 **DATED:** March 14, 2025.

25  
26   
27 **UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE**  
28